

.....
Clayton Milligan

Clayton Milligan, 3998 Inner Perminter Road. Here on behalf of Arglass, and we have representatives from the company here as well. It is straightforward. To support the expansion, we need zero-foot setbacks on any of the lines between the two parcels. I'd be happy to answer any more questions anybody has related to this.

Nathan Brantley

Really just driven by the banking requirements, right? Correct.

Clayton Milligan

But as you said, nothing around that. All the outside of the setbacks will remain the same. So, from a practical standpoint, the overall facility is still feeding off the accounting requirements. Right now, there's a 40-acre track that goes on the left-hand side of what you're looking at. So, it looks like that now.

Chairman McCall

Questions for the applicant?

Nathan Brantley

I would make one just to make sure, so we don't get whenever a motion is made if we cannot make it site plan specific. So, there's still design going on for this building to move 5 feet one way or 10 feet.

Chairman McCall

But we're not talking about completely redeveloping this.

Nathan Brantley

No, I just didn't want to, if possible, we would have to get tied into that exact layout.

Trinni Amiot

I have a recommendation for approval in the packet that doesn't tie it to any specifically dated site plan, but it does talk about the tract. So, if the recommendation is for approval, that would be my recommendation.

Chairman McCall

And we read that and we're good with that wording.

Chairman McCall

Since this is totally an aside, it's just something, since you are expanding, you're not required to hold all your water on the same side as what you're building on. Do we need to do anything to address that?